

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/717,744	11/20/2003	Felix M. Sciulli	340058.534D1	8795
500 75	590 02/15/2005		EXAMINER	
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC			PRONE, JASON D	
701 FIFTH AV	E			
SUITE 6300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			3724	
			DATE MAILED: 02/15/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

35 F.						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/717,744	SCIULLI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason Prone	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	:					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ja	nuary 2005.					
_						
<i>,</i>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
	•					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.	un from consideration					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	m nom consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.	·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ologian requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		19(a)-(d) or (t).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau		and the said				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not rec	ceived.				
Attachment(s)	" –	(DTO 440)				
1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mary (PTO-413) lail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/717,744

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stevens (5,599,328).

Stevens discloses the same invention including a mixing tube (24) comprising a body (18) having a bore extending there through along a longitudinal axis (64), a collar rigidly fixed to an outer surface of the mixing tube in an upper region of the mixing tube (55), that the collar being sized and capable of sliding upward through a bore of a cutting head and locate the mixing tube in a desired position (Fig. 3), a distance from a top surface of the mixing tube body to a bottom surface of the collar is capable of being 0.02-2.0 inches (Fig. 3), that the outer surface of the collar is substantially cylindrical (55), that the outer surface of the collar is substantially frusto-conical (55), that the collar (55) that is surrounded by a nut (122), and that an outer surface of the nut is being threaded to be capable of engaging a threaded inner surface of a cutting head (Fig. 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/717,744

Art Unit: 3724

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chalmers (5,018,670) in view of Stevens. Chalmers discloses the invention including a mixing tube (87) comprising a body (Fig. 2) having a bore extending therethrough along a longitudinal axis (88), a collar on the outer surface of the mixing tube in an upper region of the mixing tube (93), that the collar being sized to slide upward through a bore of a cutting head and locate the mixing tube in a desired position (Fig. 9), that the outer surface of the collar is substantially cylindrical (94), that the outer surface of the collar is substantially frusto-conical (93), and that the collar is surrounded by a nut (90) and an outer surface of the nut being threaded to engage an threaded inner surface of a cutting head (96) but fails to disclose that the collar is rigidly fixed to an outer surface of the mixing tube. Stevens teaches a collar that is rigidly fixed to an outer surface of the mixing tube (55). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided Chalmers with a collar rigidly attached to the mixing tube, as taught by Stevens, because the one-piece collar/tube combination would better stabilize itself than two individual parts that could become separated.
- 6. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chalmers in view of Stevens as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Yie

Application/Control Number: 10/717,744

Art Unit: 3724

standards.

(4,55,872). Chalmers and Stevens disclose the invention but fail to disclose that the distance from a top surface of the mixing tube to a bottom surface of the collar is 0.02-2.0 inches and that the wall thickness of the collar is 0.01-0.2 inches. Yie discloses specific dimensions for a fluid jet apparatus (Columns 16-17, example II). The support cone (60) with a passage (61) in Yie is similar to holder (62) and passage (67) in Chalmers and would, therefore, be on a similar order of size. Moreover, Yie teaches a support cone/holder that has a diameter of 0.49 inches with a passage bore diameter of 0.15 inches. In view of the disclosed dimensions in Yie and that the structure in Chalmers and Yie are on the same order, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have made the distance between the top surface of the mixing tube and a bottom surface of the collar in Chalmers 0.02-2.0 inches and the wall thickness of the collar 0.01-0.2 inches. Additionally, to any degree that it can be argued that it is speculative that Chalmers is on the same order of size as the fluid jet apparatus in Yie, in view of their similarities in structure and function, such similarities in size would have been obvious to reproduce to meet established

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. In regards to the dimensions of claim 2. In claim 2, no specifics regarding which surface is the top surface and which surface of the collar is considered the bottom surface are claimed. In the Stevens reference, there are numerous surfaces that can be considered a top surface of the tube body and any

Application/Control Number: 10/717,744

Art Unit: 3724

of the surfaces, which could be considered a bottom surface of the collar, is capable of being 0.02-2.0 inches away from a top surface.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Prone whose telephone number is 571-272-4513. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Mon - (every other) Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JΡ

February 11, 2005

Alian N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700